



Bellovin 113031 - Serial No. 09/343,464

nonpublication

Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unavoidably under 37 CFR 1.137(a)

Serial No.	10/086,534	Filing Date	03/04/2002
Inventor(s)	Sherman	Attorney Docket No.	Sherman 2001-0025CIP1
Examiner	Meky, Moustafa	Group Art Unit	2157

Title Interference Suppression Methods for 802.11

To: **Mail Stop: Petitions** **VIA FACSIMILE 571-273-8300**
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus any extension of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) petition fee, (2) reply and/or issue fee, (3) terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee, if appropriate, (4) adequate showing of the cause of unavoidable delay.

1. Petition fee - CHECK IN THE AMOUNT OF \$510 IS INCLUDED. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. **500732 of Henry T. Brendzel**, should a check not be enclosed, or be enclosed but for an incorrect amount.

2. Reply and/or Issue fee

The issue fee and publication fee (if application of \$ _____)

☐ has been paid previously on 12/8/05 by means of a check, and the PTO, in fact, cashed the check, it being posted on 12/16/2005.

☐ is enclosed herewith

3. Terminal Disclaimer with Disclaimer fee

☒ Since this utility/plan application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

☐ A terminal disclaimer and fee of \$ _____ is enclosed herewith

4. STATEMENT:

This application became abandoned unavoidably because an Office Action that purportedly was mailed out by the USPTO was never received. Since the Office Action followed an RCE filing on June 17, 2007, there was no way for applicant to know, or to even expect, that an Office action might be mailed by the PTO. Proof to the effect that no Office Action was received is enclosed.

Name Henry T. Brendzel

Reg. No. 26,844

Signature

Date 10/15/08

10/17/2008 PTO AND/ OR 09/22/06 10086534

01 FC:1999

510.00 DP

Sherman 2001-0025CIP-1

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s)	Matthew J. Sherman	Case Name	Sherman 2001-0025CIP-1
Filing Date	3/4/2002	Serial No.	10/086,534
Examiner	Salad Elmi Abdullahi	Art Unit	2157
Title	Interference Suppression Methods for 802.11		

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

STATEMENT UNDER RULE 1.181(b)

I am employed by AT&T, and it is my responsibility, in the normal course of business, to collect incoming mail from the USPTO, addressed to AT&T Corp. Room 2A207, One AT&T Way, Bedminster, NJ 07921, and to docket such incoming mail.

To docket a document received from the US Postal Service I enter information about the document in our IP database, and then forward it for further handling by support staff and the cognizant attorney..

According to the records reflected in the database, no document arrived that pertains to US Patent application 10/086,534 at anytime after during or after September 2007.

Respectfully,
Beverly BrittDated: 10/15/08By Beverly Britt